Recruiters have a tough gig. Getting talent in the door — quality, experienced, enthusiastic, talent, no less — is difficult in a competitive, job seeker’s market.

At the end of the day, though, “good” talent is a function of a recruiter’s judgment — what they care about, what they’ve seen, and how their definition of culture evolves over time.

A lot of complexity goes into that judgment, between biases implicit and explicit, deal-breakers in an interview, or key traits that have been proven to yield a great worker. Understanding the why behind those judgments is key to evaluating the crucial role recruiters play in hiring.

This report breaks down recruiters’ attitudes, behaviors, fears, strategies, and predictions for how to build the best companies possible — good people, good practices, and good culture.
Despite Their Best Efforts, Bias in Hiring Does Exist

A majority of recruiters (57%) believe implicit bias is a real problem within the American workforce. Some recruiters even see biased attitudes in action:

- One third (32%) of recruiters say they have observed or heard about sexual harassment/sexist attitudes from candidates, and about a fourth (27%) have observed or heard the same attitudes expressed toward a candidate. Racist attitudes expressed by candidates were observed by 25% and toward candidates by 22% of recruiters.
- The majority of recruiters (61%) believe that men are paid more for the same quality and quantity of work.

Despite being aware of bias, most recruiters’ companies are reluctant to make specific goals for gender (52%) and racial (51%) diversity in hiring. And only 13% of recruiters ranked “increasing diversity at my company” as a top three priority for the next 12 months.
Our Age Impacts How We Hire

When it comes to judging candidates, there are some major differences between millennial recruiters and their counterparts over 50 years old.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Category</th>
<th>Millennials</th>
<th>Over 50</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Conversation skills</td>
<td>75%</td>
<td>60%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Enthusiasm</td>
<td>73%</td>
<td>60%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Industry Knowledge</td>
<td>60%</td>
<td>71%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Appearance</td>
<td>17%</td>
<td>33%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Personal style</td>
<td>18%</td>
<td>45%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Grooming</td>
<td>12%</td>
<td>24%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bringing a beverage</td>
<td>9%</td>
<td>23%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bringing food</td>
<td>28%</td>
<td>50%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bad hygiene</td>
<td>47%</td>
<td>59%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Recruiters over 50 emphasize knowledge of the industry and appearance. Millennial recruiters put more emphasis on conversation skills and enthusiasm. Older recruiters put much more weight on personal style and grooming when assessing whether someone is a cultural fit than millennial recruiters. Recruiters over 50 are also much more likely to disqualify a candidate for bringing a beverage or food to the interview or because they had bad hygiene.
What Men & Women Disagree On

When it comes to evaluating talent, men are more visual — while women more often judge on credentials.

- **Appearance/style and enthusiasm**
  - Males tend to pay more attention to appearance/personal style and enthusiasm.

- **Casual dress**
  - Male recruiters are also more likely to disqualify a candidate because their dress was too casual for an interview.

- **Candidate photos**
  - Male recruiters are significantly more likely to look at candidates’ photos before meeting them, and they are also more likely to admit that seeing the photo influenced their decision to move on with a candidate or not.

- **Selfies**
  - Male recruiters are more likely to be negatively influenced by selfies on social media.

- **College major references**
  - Female recruiters particularly care about a candidate’s college major and references.
But Some Deal-Breakers are Universal

Sometimes, it only takes one mistake to take a candidate out of the running.

The Top Deal-Breakers:

- Being rude to the receptionist or other support staff (86%), checking your phone (71%), showing up late (58%), and bad hygiene (52%) are the top bad behaviors to automatically disqualify a candidate during an interview.

- Few recruiters will automatically disqualify you for wearing too much makeup or bad fashion — but dressing too casually for an interview can be a deal-breaker for nearly 1 in 4 recruiters, so be sure to put the effort in.
Recruiters Have Witnessed Everything

The good, the bad, and the downright strange.

- **54%** A candidate who didn’t know what company they were interviewing for
- **25%** Racist attitudes expressed by a candidate
- **32%** Sexual harassment / sexist attitudes expressed by a candidate
- **22%** Racist attitudes expressed by colleagues toward a candidate
- **27%** Sexual harassment / sexist attitudes expressed by colleagues toward a candidate
- **24%** A candidate appearing intoxicated during an interview
- **27%** A candidate crying in an interview
- **25%** A candidate bringing a child to an interview
- **75%** A candidate wearing too casual of attire to an interview
- **46%** An interview lasting 10 minutes
Social Media is a Minefield for Red Flags

Political rants and spelling errors give recruiters pause when researching candidates.

No-no’s during the social sleuthing process:

- **Marijuana use in the last year**: 61%
- **Political rants**: 51%
- **Spelling/grammar errors**: 48%
- **Alcohol consumption**: 35%
- **Showing off wealth/big purchases**: 19%
- **Showing too much skin**: 16%
- **Limited social presence**: 12%
- **Posting selfies**: 7%

Recruiter attitudes around selfies have also relaxed over the last three years. Recruiters who view selfies negatively:

- 25% in 2015
- 18% in 2016
- 7% in 2017

So what is a positive sign on social media?

Examples of written or design work (65%), engagement in volunteering, mentoring, or non-profits (63%), and mutual connections (35%) are the top three positive factors that impact a recruiter’s decision to move forward with a candidate.

Attitudes around marijuana seem to be relaxing. The percent of recruiters who are negatively impacted by mentions of marijuana decreased by 10% in the last year (from 71% in 2016 to 61% in 2017).
Recruiters Are Wary of Misbehaving Candidates

Recruiters say candidates lie most about money and experience:

- **72%** Inflated experience
- **63%** Inflated salary history
- **56%** Technical skills
- **50%** Competitive offers

But in their personal lives? Candidates stay honest:

- **0%** Marital status
- **1%** Age
- **1%** Children

**Failing a Drug Test**

For those recruiters worried about drug use, rest assured: 44% report that their candidates rarely (less than 25% of the time) fail a drug test.

But 43% don’t screen their candidates for drugs at all.

**Criminal Record? Recruiters May Take Notice**

Recruiters are still reluctant when it comes to hiring candidates with criminal records: 81% of recruiters rarely bring them onto staff.

70% of recruiters believe it is appropriate to ask about previous salaries. Several U.S. states have drafted legislation to make asking that question illegal in an effort to improve wage equality.
So What Makes a Good Candidate? One Word

Culture is important on both sides of the hiring equation.

We know it’s important to job seekers: 13% of job seekers have turned down job offers because of company culture.

But for recruiters too, the most important hiring factors are: previous job experience (92%) first, followed by culture fit (83%) and then employee referral (51%).

Millennial recruiters care more about growing employer brand: 41% of millennial recruiters have this as one of their top priorities, compared to 31% of recruiters over 50.
Subjective Traits Can Make or Break a Hire

Conversation skills and presentation matter most to recruiters.

**The Positives:** Conversational skills are by far the most important factors (92%) determining whether a candidate is a ‘cultural fit’.

But enthusiasm and appearance / personal style — both judgment calls — aren’t far behind.

Which of the following positively impact your decision to hire a candidate during an initial in-person interview?

- **69%** Conversation skills
- **65%** Knowledge of the industry
- **62%** Enthusiasm
- **22%** Punctuality
- **25%** Appearance / personal style
- **19%** Portfolio
- **1%** Commonalities (e.g. same college, same hometown)
- **29%** Prepared questions for the interviewer
Getting a Candidate in the Door

Once the perfect hire is found, there’s no guarantee they’ll say yes. Here’s how recruiters are increasing their success rate.

Offering Money to Win Over Candidates

We know money trumps all — giving more of it can bring in top talent:

84% of job seekers report that negotiating resulted in higher pay, and 18% of recruiters report that they increased salary offers by more than 10%.

But watch out, job seekers: 51% of recruiters report that a candidate negotiating their initial salary negatively impacted their decision to extend a job offer — and 17% actually decided not to offer the job.

Parental Leave Should Be a Standard

But men and women are not treated equally when it comes to time off:

For mothers-to-be:
- 47% of recruiters reported offering female employees 7-12 weeks — and 16% offer more than 12 weeks.
- 58% of those recruiters offer paid leave.

For fathers-to-be:
- Just 25% offer male employees 7-12 weeks — and just 8% offer more than 12.
- 51% of recruiters report that male employees receive paid leave.
Perks + Benefits Attract Talent — But What’s the Hype About?

Once recruiters find the right fit, they know the truth: traditional benefits work better than buzzy perks.

**Bread-and-Butter benefits work best:**
The benefits recruiters rank as most effective in attracting new candidates over the past 12 months:

- **Medical / Dental:** 69%
- **401(k):** 56%
- **Work from home / Flexible work hours:** 56%
- **Continuing education reimbursement:** 41%
- **Casual dress code:** 38%
- **Signing bonus:** 35%

**‘Buzzy’ perks are overhyped:**
The benefits recruiters rank as most overhyped in the past 12 months:

- **Open floor office design:** 32%
- **Free snacks / meal:** 31%
- **Pet-friendly office:** 29%
- **Tickets (e.g. sporting events, concerts, etc.):** 28%
- **Laundry / cleaning services:** 23%
- **Unlimited vacation time:** 23%
The Best Talent Comes From Within

Referrals remain the top source for quality talent, followed by internal hires and social/professional networks — but could this be another form of bias?

The worst sources? Outside recruiters / agencies and internet job boards.

And don’t forget about runners up:

A vast majority (88%) of surveyed recruiters had, at some point, gone back and hired a ‘silver medalist’ – a person who was previously passed over for hire.
But Can Recruiters Afford to Be Picky?

Why are recruiters so scrutinous? A good candidate can be hard to find.

Most recruiters predict more competition to come...

Recruiters overwhelmingly agree that hiring will become more competitive in the next 12 months: 89% of recruiters think it will get more competitive and only 2% think it will get less competitive.

However, this is a decrease from past years. In 2015 and 2016, 95% of recruiters said hiring would be as or more competitive in the coming year.

Offices will stay full for now:

- Recruiters do not anticipate layoffs this year.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>%</th>
<th>Scenario</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>66</td>
<td>No expected layoffs</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>Layoffs expected</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15</td>
<td>Maybe layoffs</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
What Makes a Recruiter Successful?

When it comes to evaluating progress, recruiters rely on a mix of traditional and new metrics.

What is the most valuable metric you use to track recruiting success?

- **24%** Time-to-hire
- **21%** Success of hire after 90 days
- **23%** Retention rate of hire
- **19%** Hiring manager satisfaction
- **8%** Source of hire
- **4%** Cost-to-hire
A Day in the Life of the Modern Recruiter

From 9-5 (and sometimes far beyond), recruiters are hard at work. Here’s how they spend their days.

How they spend their time (outside of active recruiting):

- **Onboarding**: 60% spend 3 business days or less training and/or onboarding new employees.
  - On the flipside, 14% of recruiters spend more than 10 business days training/onboarding.
- **Dealing with bottlenecks**: recruiters say the biggest bottlenecks are caused by hiring managers moving candidates through the hiring stages (56%) and hiring managers reviewing resumes (43%).
  - 25% say they've witnessed or experienced a candidate interview that lasted four hours or more!

What they prioritize:

- In the next 12 months, recruiters are looking to grow their talent pipelines first and foremost (52%).
- Improving quality of hires (48%) and improving time to hire (40%) are also of high priority.

Where they invest their money:

Compared to the previous year, in the next 12 months, do you or your company plan to increase investment in any of these recruiting activities?

- **29%**: Internet job boards
- **50%**: Social and professional networks
- **41%**: Employee referrals
- **17%**: Internal hires
- **7%**: Outside recruiters / recruiting agencies
- **45%**: Company career site
- **29%**: Mobile career site applications
- **18%**: None of the above
At the End of the Day, Recruiters Set the Tone

Today more than ever, recruiters are expected to be culture builders at their companies. They are the backbone of a successful, solid employer brand and the builders of a united culture. Thankfully, they know their worth.

An overwhelming majority of recruiters (80%) feel appreciated for their contributions to the company; this includes 37% who feel very appreciated.
About the Study

On behalf of Jobvite, Zogby Analytics conducted an online survey of 831 recruiters in the US. Jobvite supplied Zogby Analytics a list of contacts. Each invitation was password coded and secure so that one respondent could only access the survey one time.

Based on a confidence interval of 95%, the margin of error for 831 is +/- 3.4 percentage points. This means that all other things being equal, if the identical survey were repeated, its confidence intervals would contain the true value of parameters 95 times out of 100.

Subsets of the data have a larger margin of error than the whole data set. As a rule we do not rely on the validity of very small subsets of the data especially sets smaller than 50-75 respondents. At that subset we can make estimations based on the data, but in these cases the data is more qualitative than quantitative.

Additional factors can create error, such as question wording and question order.
About Jobvite

Jobvite is the industry leader in recruiting software that helps emerging, mid-market, and enterprise companies hire top talent easily, efficiently, and effectively. Our comprehensive and analytics-driven recruiting platform accelerates recruiting with an easy-to-use Applicant Tracking System (ATS), social recruiting capabilities, mobile-optimized branded career sites, a recruiting branding solution, on-demand video screening, advanced analytics, onboarding, and seamless integration with other HR systems. Focused exclusively on recruiting software since 2006, with offices in San Mateo and London, Jobvite has thousands of customers including LinkedIn, Schneider Electric, Premise Health, Zappos.com, and Blizzard Entertainment.

To learn more & request a free demo, visit www.jobvite.com or follow us @Jobvite.
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